UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,088	12/21/2006	Christoph Herrmann	DE03 0362 US1	1119	
	7590 06/26/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	DE03 0362 US1 1119 EXAMINER ALPHONSE, FRITZ ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2112 MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 3001		•		SE, FRITZ	
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510-8	001	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2112		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/26/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/577,088	HERRMANN, CHRISTO	DPH		
Office Action	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		FRITZ ALPHONSE	2112			
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	S		
WHICHEVER IS LONGE - Extensions of time may be availated after SIX (6) MONTHS from the last of the second of the	R, FROM THE MAILING DA ible under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 mailing date of this communication. above, the maximum statutory period vextended period for reply will, by statute, later than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on <u>21 De</u>	ecember 2006				
2a) ☐ This action is FINA	· · · · <u>_</u>	action is non-final.				
/—	/—	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the mer	its is		
<i>'</i> — · · ·		x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/ar	e pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above cl	aim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/a						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/ard						
7) Claim(s) is/a						
	subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
	objected to by the Evamine	r				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
- · ·	10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 April 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
• •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
·	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
·	•	anniner. Note the attached Office	Action of form F 10-10)Z.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19					
a) All b) Some 1. Certified cop 2. Certified cop 3. Copies of the application for	* c) None of: ies of the priority documents ies of the priority documents e certified copies of the prior rom the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stag	e		
Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (Fig. 1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Pate (Fig. 2) ☒ Information Disclosure Staten Paper No(s)/Mail Date	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948) nent(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/577,088 Page 2

Art Unit: 2112

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in regard to the application filed on 12/21/2006. Claims 1-11 have been presented for examination.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) submitted on 4/24/2006 has been considered by the examiner.

Specification

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

Application/Control Number: 10/577,088 Page 3

Art Unit: 2112

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tran (US Pub No. 2003/0156573 A1).

As to claim 10, Tran (figs. 1-4) discloses a communication system for performing a decoding of data, comprising a transmitting station and a receiving station (paragraph[0002]), wherein the transmitting station is adapted to perform an initial transmission and at least one retransmission of the data from the transmitting station to the receiving station (paragraph[0033]); wherein the receiving station is adapted to receive the initial transmission and the at least one retransmission of data from the transmitting station; wherein the receiving station is adapted to decode the initial transmission of the data resulting in a first decoding result and to decode the at least one retransmission of the data resulting in at least one second decoding result (paragraph[0023]); wherein the receiving station is adapted to combine selected ones of the first and at least one second decoding results into a combined decoding result for reconstructing the data (paragraph[0034]).

As to claim 11, Tran (figs. 1-4) discloses a receiving station for a communication system for performing a decoding of data (paragraphs[0001, 0002]), wherein the receiving station is adapted to receive an initial transmission and at least one retransmission of data from the transmitting station (paragraph[0023]); wherein the receiving station is adapted to decode the initial transmission of the data resulting in a first decoding result and to decode the at least one retransmission of the data resulting in at least one second decoding result (paragraph[0016]); wherein the receiving station is adapted to combine selected ones of the first and at least one

second decoding results into a combined decoding result for reconstructing the data (fig. 4; paragraphs [0015-0016]).

As to claim 1, Tran discloses a method for decoding of data, the method comprising the steps of: receiving an initial transmission and at least one retransmission of data from a transmitting station in a receiving station (paragraph [0010]); wherein a decoding of the initial transmission of the data results in a first decoding result and a decoding of the at least one retransmission of the data results in at least one second decoding result (paragraph [0018]); combining selected ones of the first and at least one second decoding results into a combined decoding result for reconstructing the data ([0019]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tran in view of Hwang (U.S. Pat. No. 7,298,804).

As to claims 2-3, Tran does not explicitly disclose sub-combinations of the first and at least one second decoding results are used for reconstructing the data. However, the limitations are obvious and well known in the art, as evidenced by Hwang (col. 8, lines 39-47).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, as the time of the invention to improve upon the communication system, as disclosed by Hwang. Doing so

would provide a receiving apparatus for increasing symbol processing speed by reducing reception conception complexity in a mobile communication system.

As to claims 4-5, Tran discloses a method, wherein a limited number of the first and at least one second decoding results is combined into a combined decoding result for reconstructing the data. Furthermore, Tran teaches that an estimation is performed which one of the first decoding result, the at least one second decoding result, and the at least one combined decoding result contains the lowest number of uncorrectable errors (paragraph [0038]).

As to claims 6-9, the dependent claims 6-9 included in the statement of rejection but not specifically addressed in the body of the rejection have inherited the deficiencies of the parent claim 1 and have not resolved the deficiencies. Therefore, they are rejected based on the same rationale as applied to the parent claim above.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892
- 10. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 872-9306 for all formal communications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fritz Alphonse, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3813. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-6:00, Alt. Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jacques Louis-Jacques, can be reached at (571) 272-6962.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,088 Page 6

Art Unit: 2112

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3824

Information regarding the status of an application may also be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Fritz Alphonse/

Art Unit 2112

6/18/2008

/JACQUES H LOUIS-JACQUES/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2112